Revised Appendix A: Act 62 Requirements and Commission Orders Nos. 2020-832 and 2021-429 Requirements

The details of the IRP requirements under Act No. 62 are shown in the following table along with a reference to each section of the Company's 2021 IRP Update demonstrating compliance:

Act No. 62 58-37-40	Requirement	2021 IRP Update Section and Page Number
(B)(1)(a)	a long-term forecast of the utility's sales and peak demand under various reasonable scenarios;	Load Forecasts (p. 31)
(B)(1)(b)	the type of generation technology proposed for a generation facility contained in the plan and the proposed capacity of the generation facility, including fuel cost sensitivities under various reasonable scenarios;	Meeting the Resource Need (p. 33); Fuel Cost Analysis (pp. 50- 52)
(B)(1)(c)	projected energy purchased or produced by the utility from a renewable energy resource;	Forecast of Renewable Generation (pp.63- 64) and Appendix I (pp. 131-132)
(B)(1)(d)	a summary of the electrical transmission investments planned by the utility;	Transmission Update (p. 30)
(B)(1)(e)	several resource portfolios developed with the purpose of fairly evaluating the range of demand-side, supply-side, storage, and other technologies and services available to meet the utility's service obligations. Such portfolios and evaluations must include an evaluation of low, medium, and high cases for the adoption of renewable energy and cogeneration, energy efficiency, and demand response measures, including consideration of the following: (i) customer energy efficiency and demand response programs; (ii) facility retirement assumptions; and (iii) sensitivity analyses related to fuel costs, environmental regulations, and other uncertainties or risks;	Resource Plan Analysis (pp.32- 38)
(B)(1)(f)	data regarding the utility's current generation portfolio, including the age, licensing status, and remaining estimated life of operation for each facility in the portfolio;	The information was provided in the Modified 2020 IRP, Generation Operation Report Update (p.17) remains accurate.
(B)(1)(g)	plans for meeting current and future capacity needs with the cost estimates for all proposed resource portfolios in the plan;	Resource Plans (pp. 34-36); Levelized Cost (p. 41); Rate and Bill Impacts (p.64); Appendix E (p. 114); Appendix K (p. 160)
(B)(1)(h)	an analysis of the cost and reliability impacts of all reasonable options available to meet projected energy and capacity needs; and	Levelized Cost (p.41);Reliability (pp. 54-58)

Act No. 62	Requirement	2021 IRP Update
58-37-40		Section and Page
		Number
(B)(1)(i)	a forecast of the utility's peak demand, details regarding the amount of peak	Load Forecasts (p.
	demand reduction the utility expects to achieve, and the actions the utility	31); Resource
	proposes to take in order to achieve that peak demand reduction.	Plans (p. 52)
		Demand Side
		Management
		Assumptions (p.
		37)
(B)(2)	An integrated resource plan may include distribution resource plans or integrated	Not included
	system operation plans.	

The requirements of this 2021 IRP Update pursuant to Orders Nos. 2020-832 and 2021-429 are shown in the following tables along with a reference to each section of the Company's 2021 IRP Update demonstrating compliance:

Order 2020-832	Ordered Requirements	2021 IRP Update
Page Number		Section
16	It is reasonable that, at the time of the filing of Dominion's Modified	Stakeholder
(Finding of Fact 2)	IRP, Dominion shall be able [to] indicate to the Commission the	Process (pp.11-16)
	composition of current and prospective stakeholders [for the IRP	
	Stakeholder Process], and report on any stakeholder meetings that have	
16.17	occurred prior to the filing date.	D DI
16-17 (Finding of Fact 4)	It is reasonable to require DESC to model a limited set of additional	Resource Plans
(Finding of Fact 4)	resource plans as specified by SCSBA and to include them in a Modified 2020 IRP filed in this docket within 60 days of the Order.	(pp. 34-36);
17	DESC should include DSM and purchased power as a resource option in	To be evaluated
(Finding of Fact 6)	the 2021 IRP Update, if achievable.	after full
(1 maing of 1 act 0)	the 2021 Tel Opdate, if achievable.	implementation of
43-44		the PLEXOS
(Commission		resource
Conclusion)		optimization
,		software.
17	It is reasonable to require DESC, in its Modified 2020 IRP, to build	Reserve Margin
(Finding of Fact 7)	candidate resource plans to meet its full peaking reserve margin target,	(pp. 32)
	and the resource plan analysis should determine what type of resources	
	best meet the peaking increment.	
16	It	
46 (Commission	It is appropriate for DESC, starting with its 2021 IRP Update, to systematically compare resource options for meeting its peaking reserve	
Conclusion)	margin increment, including all available resources, rather than limiting	
Conclusion)	available resources to a narrow subset.	
10		C 1D 4
18 (Finding of Fact 8)	It is reasonable to require DESC to re-run its IRP modeling using the set of assumptions recommended in SCSBA Witness Sercy's Rebuttal	Coal Retirement
(rinding of ract 8)	Testimony and Sierra Club Witness Derek Stenclik's Rebuttal	Analysis (p. 17); Meeting the
	Testimony, and to include the results of that modeling in its Modified	Resource Need (p.
	2020 IRP.	33); Resource Plan
		Analysis (p. 35);
		Demand Side
		Management

Order 2020-832 Page Number	Ordered Requirements	2021 IRP Update Section
		Assumptions (p. 37).
18 (Finding of Fact 9) 58 (Commission Conclusion)	It is appropriate to require Dominion to work with stakeholders regarding fair inclusion of solar PV's winter capacity value in the 2021 and 2022 IRP Updates.	IRP Stakeholder Advisory Group Meetings (pp. 15- 16); Resource Plans (p. 35)
18-19 (Finding of Fact 11)	Cost range and minimax regret analyses are simple, appropriate methodologies that can feasibly be implemented in a Modified 2020 IRP. It is reasonable to require DESC to submit a Modified 2020 IRP including a comparison of candidate resource plans employing simple quantitative risk metrics, including cost ranges and regret scores, as recommended by SCSBA Witness Sercy in his direct and rebuttal testimony.	Mini-Max Regret (p.59); Cost Range Analysis (p.59); Resource Plans Ranked Across All Scenarios (pp.60- 61)
64	The Commission will require DESC to implement the cost range and minimax regret analyses in the Modified 2020 IRP and subsequent updates and will consider more refined and sophisticated risk-adjusted metrics in its 2022 IRP Update.	
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.c)	Conduct and include in the Modified 2020 IRP an analysis and comparison of all candidate resource plans using the simple quantitative risk metrics recommended by SCSBA Witness Sercy in his direct and rebuttal testimony, including cost ranges and minimax regret scores.	
19 (Finding of Fact 12)	It is reasonable to require DESC to conduct a revised scenario analysis based on modeling that reflects a wider range of possibilities, as proposed by SCSBA. It is also reasonable to require DESC to include the results of this analysis in a Modified 2020 IRP filed in this docket.	Demand Side Management Assumptions (p.37); Emissions, DSM and Fuel Sensitivity (p. 37)
19 (Finding of Fact 13)	Accordingly, the Commission finds it is reasonable to require that DESC work with the DSM Advisory Group ("Advisory Group") to conduct a rapid assessment of the cost-effectiveness and achievability of ramping up its current DSM portfolio, such as by expanding programs or increasing spending, to achieve at least a 1% level of savings in the years 2022, 2023, and 2024, and to require that DESC include this analysis in its Modified 2020 IRP. It is also reasonable to require DESC to include in the Modified 2020 IRP action steps it will take to complete the comprehensive DSM evaluation	IRP Stakeholder Advisory Group Meetings (p. 15); Demand Side Management Assumptions (p.37); Short Term Action Plan Update (p. 70)
75-76	The Commission adopts the recommendation in Step 1 of Witness Hill's Late-Filed Exhibit, which directs DESC to conduct a "rapid assessment" of the cost-effectiveness and achievability of ramping up its current portfolio to achieve at least a 1% level of savings in the years 2022, 2023, and 2024. As outlined in step 2 of that exhibit, DESC must work with the Advisory Group in conducting this "rapid assessment" and must include the results of this "rapid assessment" in its Modified 2020 IRP. The Modified 2020 IRP must also include steps the Company will take to complete the "comprehensive evaluation" discussed below in preparation for including such an evaluation in its 2022 IRP.	

Order 2020-832	Ordered Requirements	2021 IRP Update
91 (Ordering Paragraph 6.e)	Consistent with step 1 as identified in Hearing Exhibit 16, conduct a "rapid assessment" of the cost-effectiveness and achievability of ramping up its current portfolio to achieve at least a 1% level of savings in the years 2022, 2023, and 2024, and include the results of this rapid assessment in its Modified 2020 IRP. The Company will work with the DSM Advisory Group and, if desired, a contractor selected with input from the Advisory Group, in preparing this assessment.	Section
91 (Ordering Paragraph 6.d)	Develop and include in the Modified 2020 IRP a set of modifications to the Company's existing DSM portfolio that would achieve at least a 1% level of savings in the years 2022, 2023, and 2024, and screen such measures for cost-effectiveness and achievability	
20 (Finding of Fact 15)	It is reasonable to require that DESC include in its Modified 2020 IRP a DSM Action Plan that includes its plans to undertake a comprehensive evaluation of the cost-effectiveness and achievability of DSM portfolios reaching 1% and higher savings, including savings levels of 1.25%, 1.5%, 1.75% and 2%, and to work with the Advisory Group to develop and characterize these levels of DSM savings.	Short Term Action Plan Update (p. 70)
91 (Ordering Paragraph 6.f)	Include in its Modified 2020 IRP action steps the Company will take to complete a comprehensive evaluation of the cost-effectiveness and achievability of DSM portfolios ranging from 1% to 2% savings, as identified in steps 3 through 4 of Hearing Exhibit 16.	
21 (Finding of Fact 21)	The Proposed IRP does not provide sufficient information for the Commission to evaluate the plain in light of "power supply reliability." It is reasonable to require that DESC include recent generator performance and other reliability data in its Modified 2020 IRP and future IRPs. It is also reasonable to require DESC to include in its Modified 2020 IRP additional information regarding storm and hurricane-related outages and their impact on resource planning.	Reliability (pp. 54- 58); Distribution Transmission Operating Report Update (p. 29)
21-22 (Finding of Fact 23)	It is reasonable to require DESC to include a three-year Action Plan in its Modified 2020 IRP and in future IRPs. The three-year Action Plan should identify and describe the steps DESC will take to implement its IRP during that three-year period. This Action Plan should include a graphical representation of the planned sequence of actions.	Short Term Action Plan Update (pp. 68-72)
88	Accordingly, DESC shall include in its Modified 2020 IRP and in future IRPs a three-year Action Plan identifying and describing the steps it will take to implement its IRP during that three-year period, including but not limited to additional analyses, changes to its methodology, issuance of Requests for Proposals, modifications to its DSM portfolio, and applications for new generating facilities under the Siting Act. The Action Plan shall include a graphic representation of the sequencing of its actions. The Action Plan in the Modified 2020 IRP shall include, at a minimum, the DSM Action Plan discussed elsewhere in this Order; the Company's process for selecting a capacity expansion model, in collaboration with stakeholders; the Company's plans to conduct retirement studies required by this Order; as well as any actions related to competitive procurement of renewable energy resources that may be indicated based on the additional production cost modeling that the Commission is requiring in this Order.	

Order 2020-832 Page Number	Ordered Requirements	2021 IRP Update Section
94 (Ordering Paragraph 11)	DESC shall include in its Modified 2020 IRP and in future IRPs a three-year Action Plan identifying and describing the steps it will take to implement its IRP during that three-year period, including but not limited to additional analyses, changes to its methodology, issuance of Requests for Proposals, modifications to its DSM portfolio, and applications for new generating facilities under the Siting Act. The Action Plan in the Modified 2020 IRP shall include, at a minimum, the DSM Action Plan discussed elsewhere in this Order; the Company's process for selecting a capacity expansion model, in collaboration with stakeholders; the Company's plans to conduct retirement studies required by this Order; as well as any actions related to competitive procurement of renewable energy resources that may be indicated based on the additional production cost modeling that the Commission is requiring in this Order.	
33-34	The Commission will therefore require DESC, in its Modified 2020 IRP, to model the additional resource plans (RP7-A and RP7-B) proposed by SCSBA Witness Sercy, and to re-model resource plan RP2 for comparison purposes. In modeling the costs of those plans, DESC must incorporate all the other modeling and other adjustments discussed elsewhere in this Order. As discussed below, the Commission will also direct DESC to model those resource plans with the cost sensitivities proposed by Mr. Sercy.	Resource Plans (pp. 34-36)
86	Accordingly, the Commission will direct DESC to conduct additional production cost modeling and analysis, as recommended by SCSBA, on an expedited basis (within 30 days of this Order) in order to inform decisions regarding the possible conduct of near-term competitive solicitations. The modeling shall include the RP2 resource plan (as modified using the same input and methodological changes the Commission is Ordering for the Revised 2020 IRP), as well as SCSBA's proposed RP7-A and RP7-B resource plans. DESC shall model price sensitivities for flexible solar PPAs at price points of \$38.94/MWh, \$36/MWh, and \$34/MWh. For the reasons discussed in Section V.D.6, <i>supra</i> , that modeling shall include an assumption that the addition of solar PPAs will result in integration costs equivalent to \$0.96/MWh. That modeling shall be filed in this docket as well as for informational purposes in the pending generic competitive solicitation proceeding, Docket No. 2019-365-E.	
89 (Ordering Paragraph 6.a) 89-90 (Ordering Paragraph	Include additional candidate resource plans, representing the near-term deployment of renewables as described in the testimony of SCSBA Witness Sercy (specifically, the resource plans identified as RP7-A and RP7-B) Use the flexible solar PPA cost assumptions recommended by SCSBA in the Rebuttal Testimony of Witness Sercy, and model 400 MW of Flexible Solar PPAs starting in 2023 with 20-year PPA prices of \$34/MWh, \$36/MWh, and \$38.94/MWh.	
6.b.i) 52	The Commission finds that in modeling the cost of battery storage PPAs in the Modified 2020 IRP, DESC shall use the NREL ATB's low storage cost case (including capital and fixed O&M costs) with the same 22% ITC safe harbor assumptions discussed above for solar PV PPAs. DESC	Resource Plans (pp. 34-36)

Order 2020-832	Ordered Requirements	2021 IRP Update
Page Number	shall also adopt Mr. Sercy's recommended approach to modeling battery storage PPA costs, as described herein.	Section
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.b.ii)	For battery storage PPAs, use the NREL ATB's low storage cost case (including capital and fixed O&M 13 costs) with the same 22% ITC safe harbor assumptions employed for solar PV PPAs.	
53	While the Company responded to ORS' recommendation to reassess its long-term continuing capital cost —de-escalation in its Supplemental IRP, we are persuaded by the testimony of Sierra Club Witness Stenclik that the Company implemented the two different escalation rates incorrectly which led to a spike in capital costs for both solar PV and BESS in 2031 and onwards. The Company is required to correct this error in a Modified 2020 IRP.	Resource Plans (pp. 34-36)
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.b.vi)	For its long-term continuing capital cost de-escalation for both solar PV and BESS, correct its implementation of the two different escalation rates consistent with Mr. Stenclik's surrebuttal testimony.	
56	For purposes of the IRP, we agree with the recommendation of Sierra Club Witness Stenclik and ORS Witnesses Sandonato and Hayet that the Company should include in a Modified 202 IRP industry accepted ICT capital cost assumptions, such as NREL. We would also note that the Company relied on data from NREL for determining its future cost of renewable energy projects, so it should do the same for the ICT. For ICT, use industry accepted ICT capital cost assumptions, such as NREL.	Resource Plans (pp. 34-36)
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.b.v)	NREL.	
58	In its Modified 2020 IRP, DESC shall calculate the current ELCC capacity value for solar based on the current level of operational solar on DESC's system, and DESC shall apply that value in its modeling of PV resources.	Appendix C (p.80)
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.b.iii)	Correct the incremental flexible solar PPA capacity value assumptions to reflect the ELCC value specific to the existing system penetration level of incremental flexible solar PV.	
60	Under the circumstances of this IRP, the Commission concludes that consistent with its finding in Order No. 2020-244 at 4, a solar integration cost of \$0.96/MWh should be used by DESC when performing the updated resource portfolio modeling required herein, both in the Modified 2020 IRP and in the additional modeling to be produced within thirty (30) days (discussed further below).	Meeting the Base Resource Need (p. 33)
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.b.iv)	Assume integration costs of \$0.96/MWh for solar PV, until an updated, Commission-approved methodology for calculating solar integration costs is available.	
71	The Commission will therefore direct DESC, in the production cost modeling conducted for the Modified 2020 IRP, to use the AEO low, reference, and high gas prices described by Mr. Sercy in place of DESC's low, base, and high gas prices.	Emissions DSM and Fuel Sensitivity (pp. 37- 38)

Order 2020-832 Page Number	Ordered Requirements	2021 IRP Update Section
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.b.vii)	Re-run its production cost modeling using the AEO low, reference, and high gas prices described by SCSBA Witness Sercy in his direct testimony, and using the AEO High CO2 case, also as detailed in Mr. Sercy's direct testimony.	
71	The Commission will therefore direct DESC, in its Modified 2020 IRP and future updates, to use the AEO high CO ₂ case described by Mr. Sercy in place of DESC's \$25 CO ₂ case, in the revised cost analysis.	Emissions DSM and Fuel Sensitivity (pp. 37- 38)
90 (Ordering Paragraph 6.b.vii)	Re-run its production cost modeling using the AEO low, reference, and high gas prices described by SCSBA Witness Sercy in his direct testimony, and using the AEO High CO ₂ case, also as detailed in Mr. Sercy's direct testimony.	
81	For that reason, the Commission adopts Witness Sommer's recommendation that DESC be required to calculate the rate and bill impacts of its various portfolios in the IRP, rather than just a levelized NPV of revenue requirements. DESC must include such an evaluation in its Modified 2020 IRP and in future IRPs and IRP Updates.	Rate and Bill Impacts (pp. 64-67)
81	DESC is directed to revise its 2020 IRP to include further analysis and consideration for how state or federal environmental regulations, including the Coal Combustion Residuals rule, the Steam Electric Power Generating Effluent Guidelines and Standards, National Ambient Air Quality Standards, and current and potential future greenhouse gasrelated rules, might affect DESC's generating units and resource choices.	Environmental Compliance (p. 26)
88	In addition to the Action Plan, Dominion shall explain how the IRP is integrated into other planning at the company by subdivision, division, and department within the Company.	No Change from the discussion in the 2020 Modified IRP
93-94 (Ordering Paragraph 10)	In its 2020 Modified IRP, 2021 IRP Update, and subsequent annual Updates prepared pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-37-41(D)(1), DESC shall update its planning assumptions relating to the energy and demand forecast, commodity fuel price inputs, renewable energy forecast, energy efficiency and demand-side management forecasts, and changes to projected retirement dates of existing units.	Load Forecast (p. 31); Resource Plans Analysis (pp. 32, 35-38, 37-38)

Order 2021-429	Ordered	2021 IRP Update
Page Number		Section
18 (Order Paragraph	DESC is ordered to include near term solar and storage in its 2021 IRP	Resource Plans
2)	Update	Analysis (pp. 35-
		36)
18 (Order Paragraph	DESC is ordered to provide substantive details of the CT Plan and	Executive
3)	include the CT Plan in its revised modeling.	Summary (p. 7);
		The CT
		Replacement Plan
		(pp.19-22)
18 (Order Paragraph	DESC shall include resource plans that represent "the range of demand-	Resource Plans
4)	side, supply-side, storage, and other technologies and services available"	(pp. 34-36);
	to meet the utility's obligations. DESC shall also include "plans for	Levelized Cost (p.
	meeting current and future capacity needs with cost estimates for all	41); Rate and Bill
	proposed resource portfolios in the plan."	Impacts (p.64);
		Appendix E (p.
		114); Appendix K
		(p. 160)

Order 2021-429	Ordered	2021 IRP Update
Page Number		Section
18-19 (Order Paragraph 5)	DESC is ordered to adjust its Reliability Factors consistent with Appendix A of the filed "Joint Comments of South Carolina Coastal Conservation League, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, Carolinas	Resource Plan Analysis (p. 32)
	Clean Energy Business Alliance and Sierra Club." DESC is required to adhere to Order No. 2020-832 in its application of the approved Minimax regrets and cost range analyses, as well as the plan selection criteria required by the Commission in its 2021 IRP Update as well as in all future IRPs. In its 2021 IRP Update as well as in all future IRPs, DESC shall use Dr. Sercy's Minimax Regrets and Cost Range methodologies in addition to using the "average ranking" approach in order to provide information related to risk using these various approaches.	
19 (Ordering	DESC is directed to employ a reasonable levelized cost of saved energy	IRP Stakeholder
Paragraph 7)	(LCSE) which is comparable with industry standards in conducting its upcoming Market Potential Study and in developing future IRPs starting with the 2021 IRP Update.	Advisory Group Meetings (pp. 12, 15-16); Resource Plan Analysis (p. 32)
19 (Ordering Paragraph 8)	DESC is also ordered to include load forecasts and the integration of Energy Efficiency impacts with its stakeholders as part of the 2021 IRP Update. DESC is also required to present realistic and levelized DSM costs in all future IRPs starting with the 2021 IRP Update.	
19 (Ordering Paragraph 9)	DESC is directed to use marginal line losses in the calculation of avoided costs and in the translation of energy savings from the Market Potential Study to energy savings in future IRP modeling beginning with the 2021 IRP Update.	
20 (Ordering Paragraph 10)	DESC is required to use "cost effective, reasonable and achievable" as the standard going forward for evaluating the potential for higher savings portfolios in future IRPs and updates beginning with the 2021 IRP Update.	